

Expectation-Maximization

10-701/15-781, Recitation

Feb 18, 2010

Ni Lao

What's EM

- Used for finding maximum likelihood estimates of parameters in probabilistic models
- Useful when there are latent variables (incomplete data)
 - No closed form solution to the objective/gradient due to the summation over hidden variables
 - Or when we don't want the standard optimization procedures
- It alternates between two steps
 - Expectation (E) step
 - computes an expectation of the latent variables
 - Maximization (M) step
 - computes the parameters which maximize the expected log likelihood given the expectations from E-step

MLE with Hidden Variables

- We have a MLE problem

$$\max_{\theta} \log P(D | \theta) = \max_{\theta} \sum_l \log P(x^l | \theta)$$

- For most applications, the existence of latent variables z makes it nasty to compute expectations (here we omit the superscript l)

$$\log P(x | \theta) = \log \sum_z P(x, z | \theta)$$

- e.g.
 - z is a binary vector of length n , z_i are not independent
 - then there are 2^n terms in the summation
 - not affordable if dynamic programming is not applicable

MLE with GMM

- For GMM, $z_i x_i$ are indeed independent to each other, and we can calculate the objective function efficiently

$$\begin{aligned}\log P(\mathbf{x} \mid \theta) &= \log \sum_{\mathbf{z}} P(\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{z}, \theta) P(\mathbf{z} \mid \theta) \\ &= \log \sum_{\mathbf{z}} \prod_i P(x_i \mid z_i, \theta) P(z_i \mid \theta) \\ &= \log \prod_i \sum_{z_i} P(x_i \mid z_i, \theta) P(z_i \mid \theta)\end{aligned}$$

- But we still cannot get close form solution to the parameters
 - after introducing hidden variables, the objective function is not convex anymore
- And we hate gradient ascent
 - especially with constrained optimization $\pi' \mathbf{1} = 1$

Variational Method

- The variational method
 - approximates the original objective function by adding extra parameters
 - Here we introduce a set of parameters $Q(Z^l=z)$ for each sample (x^l, z^l)

$$l(\theta) = \log P(x | \theta) = \log \sum_z Q(z) \frac{P(x, z | \theta)}{Q(z)} \geq \sum_z Q(z) \log \frac{P(x, z | \theta)}{Q(z)} = l^{EM}(\theta, Q)$$

- Jensen's inequality: $\log \sum_z P(z) f(z) \geq \sum_z P(z) \log f(z)$
- Sometimes, we constrain the distribution Q to have factorized form

$$Q(z) = \prod_i Q(z_i)$$

- therefore, we can enumerate each z_i independently instead of jointly in the summation

KL Divergence

- $l^{EM}(x)$ is an lower bound of $l(x)$, and the gap is a KL divergence.
 - for GMM, there is no constraint on $Q(z^l)$, therefore the gap can be zero

$$\begin{aligned} l(\theta) - l^{EM}(\theta, Q) &= \log P(x | \theta) - \sum_z Q(z) \log \frac{P(x, z | \theta)}{Q(z)} \\ &= \sum_z Q(z) \log P(x | \theta) - \sum_z Q(z) \log \frac{P(x, z | \theta)}{Q(z)} \\ &= \sum_z Q(z) \log \frac{P(z | x, \theta)}{Q(z)} \\ &= KL(Q(z) || P(z | x, \theta)) \end{aligned}$$

- KLD
 - measures the difference of two distributions
 - is never negative
 - Is zero iff the two distributions are identical

E-step

- Actually still a maximization step

$$Q^{new} = \arg \max_Q l^{EM}(\theta, Q) = \arg \min_Q KL(Q(z) \parallel P(z | \mathbf{x}, \theta))$$

- For GMM, just set $Q(z^l) = P(z^l | \mathbf{x}^l, \theta)$
 - here we got the name “E-step”

M-step

- Another maximization step

$$\theta^{new} = \arg \max_{\theta} l^{EM}(\theta, Q) = \arg \max_{\theta} \sum_z Q(z) \log P(x, z | \theta)$$

- For GMM (and many other directed graphic models)
 - there are closed form solutions

$$\pi_i^{(t+1)} = \frac{\sum_j P(y=i|x_j, \lambda_t)}{m} \quad \mu_i^{(t+1)} = \frac{\sum_j P(y=i|x_j, \lambda_t) x_j}{\sum_j P(y=i|x_j, \lambda_t)} \quad \Sigma_i^{(t+1)} = \frac{\sum_j P(y=i|x_j, \lambda_t) (x_j - \mu_i^{(t+1)})(x_j - \mu_i^{(t+1)})^T}{\sum_j P(y=i|x_j, \lambda_t)}$$

- You've done it in HW2~~~

- For other applications (e.g. undirected graphic model)
 - this step itself may be an optimization procedure like gradient ascent, or Newton's method

Summery

- EM is useful when there are latent variables (incomplete data)
 - No closed form solution to the parameters
 - Hard to estimate objective/gradient due to the summation over hidden variables
 - Or when we don't like the standard optimization procedures
- It alternates between two steps
 - Maximizing the variational parameter $Q(z)$
 - Maximizing the model parameter θ

- The End
- Thanks